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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-1</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Quality of Professional Development</td>
<td>E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Quality of Professional Development</td>
<td>E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-3</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to which Professional Development/Coursework Focused on Student-Centered Instruction</td>
<td>E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-4</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to which Professional Development/Coursework Focused on Student-Centered Instruction</td>
<td>E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Biology/Life Science</td>
<td>E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-6</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Chemistry</td>
<td>E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-7</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Earth Science</td>
<td>E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-8</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Integrated/General Science</td>
<td>E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-9</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Physical Science</td>
<td>E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-10</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Physics</td>
<td>E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-11</td>
<td>Perceptions of Content Preparedness: Mathematics</td>
<td>E-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-12</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Diverse Learners</td>
<td>E-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-13</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Perceptions of Preparedness to Teach Diverse Learners</td>
<td>E-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-14</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Perceptions of Preparedness to Encourage Students in Science and/or Engineering</td>
<td>E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-15</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Perceptions of Preparedness to Encourage Students in Mathematics</td>
<td>E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-16</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Unit</td>
<td>E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-17</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Perceptions of Preparedness to Implement Instruction in Unit</td>
<td>E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-18</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Curriculum Control</td>
<td>E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-19</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Curriculum Control</td>
<td>E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-20</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Pedagogical Control</td>
<td>E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-21</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Pedagogical Control</td>
<td>E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-22</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives</td>
<td>E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-23</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Reform-Oriented Instructional Objectives</td>
<td>E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-24</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Use of Reform-Oriented Teaching Practices</td>
<td>E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-25</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Use of Reform-Oriented Teaching Practices</td>
<td>E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-26</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Use of Instructional Technology</td>
<td>E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-27</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Use of Instructional Technology</td>
<td>E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-28</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Adequacy of Resources for Instruction</td>
<td>E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-29</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Adequacy of Resources for Instruction</td>
<td>E-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-30</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which Quality of IT Is Problematic for Instruction</td>
<td>E-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-31</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Quality of IT Is Problematic for Instruction</td>
<td>E-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-32</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which the Policy Environment Promotes Effective Instruction</td>
<td>E-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-33</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which the Policy Environment Promotes Effective Instruction</td>
<td>E-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-34</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote Effective Instruction</td>
<td>E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-35</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Stakeholders Promote Effective Instruction</td>
<td>E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-36</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which School Support Promotes Effective Instruction</td>
<td>E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-37</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which School Support Promotes Effective Instruction</td>
<td>E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-38</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Focus on State Standards</td>
<td>E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-39</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Focus on State Standards</td>
<td>E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-40</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Supportive Context for Science Instruction</td>
<td>E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-41</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Supportive Context for Mathematics Instruction</td>
<td>E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-42</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which a Lack of Materials and Supplies Is Problematic</td>
<td>E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-43</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which a Lack of Materials and Supplies Is Problematic</td>
<td>E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-44</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which Student Issues Are Problematic</td>
<td>E-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-45</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Student Issues Are Problematic</td>
<td>E-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-46</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which Teacher Issues Are Problematic</td>
<td>E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-47</td>
<td>K–12 Mathematics: Extent to Which Teacher Issues Are Problematic</td>
<td>E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-48</td>
<td>K–12 Science: Extent to Which a Lack of Time Is Problematic</td>
<td>E-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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